The Reaction of $Os(CN)₆⁴⁻$ and its Iron Family Analogues with $MnO₄$ in Acidic Media

KENNETH W. HICKS

Department of Chemistry, Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, Mich. 48197, U.S.A.

Received September 3, 1982

In the recent study of the reaction of MnO_a with $Ru(CN)₆⁴⁻$ [1] it was observed that the various forms of the Ru(II) ions $(Ru(CN)^{4-}_{6}$, HRu $(CN)^{3-}_{6}$, and $H_2Ru(CN)₆²$ present in acidic perchlorate media were considerably less reactive with Mn(VI1) than the corresponding $Fe(II)$ complexes $[2]$. The study also showed that the monoprotonated form, $HRu(CN)^{3-}_{6}$, was considerably more reactive than both $Ru(CN)_{6}^{4-}$, and $H_2Ru(CN)₆²$.

We wish to report a study of the permanganate ion with the third member of the iron family of hexacyanometallates, hexacyanoosmiate(I1).

Experimental

The samples of $K_4Os(CN)_6 \cdot H_2O$ used was obtained as a gift from Dr. William Waltz, Univ. of Saskatchewan and purchased from Pfaltz and Bauer Chemicals. Both samples gave identical kinetic results, although the sampel from Waltz was of higher purity. Weighed samples were dissolved in solutions of the

desired acidity and ionic strength (maintained by NaClO₄ and/or LiClO₄). Solutions in which the $pH >$ 1.5 were prepared using buffers consisting of LiC104/ H_3PO_4 or LiClO₄/ $H_2PO_4^-$ solutions (μ = 1.0).

Solutions were analyzed for $Os(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ by vidation to $O\left(\frac{CN}{2}\right)$ with $XeO_2(aq)$ or $MnO₂$ andardized solutions. Reactions with Ce(IV) gave low and unreliable results. Spectrophotometric analysis gave molar absorption coefficients values for Os(CN)_{6}^{3-} of $\epsilon = 1625 \pm 43 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($\lambda = 328 \text{ nm}$) and $\epsilon = 1450 \pm 52 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($\lambda = 400 \text{ nm}$) in good agreement with literature $[3-5]$.

Although Os(CN)_6^{4-} is not reported to be photochemically active [6], all solutions were used immediately upon dissolving and were handled in subdued or red-light conditions. Solutions of $Os(CN)₆⁴⁻$ were found to be stable to oxidation upon standing in the dark in $0.1 \, M \, \text{HClO}_4$ for several days.

The pH was measured with a Leed and Northrup pH meter and calibrated against standard buffer solutions in the appropriate pH region.

Results and Discussion

The progress of the reaction was followed using stopped-flow techniques at 400 nm where the change in absorbance is due to the production of Os(II1). Neither $MnO₄$ or $Os(II)$ absorb appreciable at this wavelength. The ratio, $[Os(III)]_{\infty}/[MnO_4^{-}]_{0} = 4.94 \pm$ 0.12, was found to hold in accordance with eqn.1

$$
Mn(VII) + 5 \text{ Os(II)} \xrightarrow{H^+} Mn^{2+} + 5 \text{ Os(III)}
$$
 (1)

The production of Os(II1) under pseudo-first order conditions $([Os(II)]_{\Omega} \ge 25$ $[MnO₄]_{\Omega}$) was well

 $^{\circ}$ T = 25.0 °C, μ = 1.0.

OOO20-1693/83/0000-0000/\$03.00 0 Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

TABLE II. k_{obsd} as a Function of Hydrogen Ion Concentration.⁸

 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ T = 25.0 °C, μ = 1.0, H⁺/Li⁺ perchlorate mixture. b pH maintained with appropriate phosphate/HClO₄ mixture.</sup> \mathbf{a}^* d Li⁺/Na⁺ perchlorate mixture.

behaved and showed no deviation from the expression $d[Os(III)]/dt = 5k'[MnO₄]$. The second order rate constant, k_{obsd} , was obtained from $k'/[Os(II)]_o$. The results of the concentration dependence are presented in Table I.

Variation of the reaction hydrogen ion concentration showed k_{obsd} to be constant in the high acid
region ($[H^+] = 0.9$ *M* to 0.5 *M*), to rise rapidly between $pH \sim 0.2$ to 2 and again become invariant with further decreasing $[H⁺]$. The behaviour is due to coupled pH-dependent reactions [7]. A set of equilibria,

$$
H_2Os(CN)^{2-}_6 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HOs(CN)^{3-}_6
$$

$$
HOs(CN)_{6}^{3-} \rightleftharpoons H^{+} + Os(CN)_{6}^{4-} \qquad K_{4}
$$

similar to those of $Fe(CN_6^{4-}$ and Ru(CN)⁴⁻ is expected for the osmium analogue $[8, 1]$.

The rate law

 $d[Os(CN)₆³]/dt = (k₀ + k₁K₄[H⁺] + k₂K₃K₄[H⁺]²).$ $[Os(CN)₆^{4–}]$ [MnO₄] can be written where

$$
MnO4- + Os(CN)64- - k0
$$
 products

$$
MnO4- + HOs(CN)63- - k1
$$
 products

$$
MnO4- + H2Os(CN)62- - k2
$$
 products

In this experiments, like the $Ru(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ study, the equilibrium constants for the protonation of Os- $(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ have not been reported. However using a pattern similar to the decrease in the equilibrium constants for the appropriate ions from Fe(II) to $Ru(II)$ to $Os(II)$ as an initial estimation, the solution of the equation

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = \frac{k_2 \left[H^{\dagger} \right]^2 + k_1 K_3 \left[H^{\dagger} \right] + k_0 K_4 K_3}{\left[H^{\dagger} \right] + K_3 \left[H^{\dagger} \right] + K_4 K_3}
$$

yielded values for the equilibrium constants of K4-(HOs(CN)³ \rightarrow \approx 0.002₂ *M* and K₃(H₂Os(CN)² \rightarrow \approx 0.003₁ *M*. The rate constants were k₀ = 33 × 10³ *M*⁻¹ sec⁻¹, k₁ = 18 × 10³ *M*⁻¹ sec⁻¹ and k₂ = 7.0 × 10³ *M*⁻¹ sec⁻¹.

Ionic strength studies at $pH = 3.8$ and $[H⁺] = 0.58$ M, showed an increase in k_{obsd} with increasing μ , supporting the idea that reaction is between $MnO₄$ and various ions of Os(CN)_6^4 . The reaction between $MnO₄⁻$ and $Mo(CN)₈⁴⁻$ or W(CN)⁴⁻ did not exhibit an ionic strength dependence. The major reaction pathway in these reactions was interpreted to be between HMnO₄ and the reductant ion.

The kinetic behaviour of the hexacyanometallates of the iron family with $MnO₄$ show a greater similarity in the rate constants between the corresponding Fe(II) $[2]$ and Os(II) ions than with the Ru(II) species. It was observed that the ions of the Ru(II) react much more slowly with MnO₄ than the iron or osmium analog (Fig. 1). This study also indicates a relative preference of $MnO₄⁻$ for the various $M(CN)₆⁴$ forms. The most reactive species of Fe(II) is H_2 -Fe(CN) $_6^{2-}$, while MnO₄ prefers HRu(CN) $_6^{3-}$ and $Os(CN)₆⁴⁻$, or its Na⁺ ion pair as its reaction partner.

Metal Species	$\frac{k_{11}}{M}$ i sec^{-1}	k_{22} \overline{M}^{-1} sec ⁻¹ b	E° , (c)	k_{12} (calc) M^{-1} sec ⁻¹	k_{12} (obs) M^{-1} sec ⁻¹
Fe(II)	7.4×10^{2}	3×10^3	$+0.36(8)$	6.1×10^{4}	2.4×10^{4} (2)
Ru(II)	2×10^2	3×10^3	$+0.86(4, 10)$	1.42	0.93(1)
Os(II)	1×10^{7} a	3×10^3	$+0.63(5)$	3.9×10^{4}	3.3×10^{4}

TABLE III. Experimental and Calculated Rate Constants for $M(CN)_6^4$ --MnO₄ Reaction.

 $B_{k_{11}}$ of Os(dipy)²⁺ [12]. $B_{k_{11}}$ of Os(dipy)²⁺ [12]. $B_{k_{11}}$ of Os(dipy)²⁺ [12]. $B_{k_{11}}$ of Os(dipy)²⁺ [12]. $B_{k_{11}}$

Fig. 1. k_{obsd} vs. $log[H^+]^{-1}$ for Fe(II), Ru(II) and Os(II)hexacyanometallates.

The lithium ion pair has reactivity similar to the $NaOs(CN)₆³⁻$ ion pair. This trend in reactivity may be controlled in part by the magnitude of the repulsive coulombic charge between $MnO₄⁻$ and the hexacyanometalate species. Perhaps the large $Os(CN)₆⁴$ presents an electron cloud to MnO_A similar to those $FHRu(CN)^{4-}$ and H. Fe $(CN)^{2-}$.

 S_0 the M/CN^4 - MnO^- systems are outer sphere reactants, the Marcus Cross reaction equation can be applied to predict a rate constant for that system $[11]$. Using the appropriate values in the Cross reaction equation $k_{12} = (k_{11}k_{22}K_{12}f)^{1/2}$ where

log $f = (\log K_{12})^2/4$ log $(k_{11}k_{22}/Z^2)$; k_{12} is the rate constant for the Cross reaction, k_{11} and k_{22} are the exchange rate constants of $M(CN)_6^{4-}$ and $MnO_4^$ expectively, K_{ex} is the equilibrium constant for the ross reaction and $Z = 10^{11} M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹ it is seen that the low reactivity observed (Table III) for the $Ru(II)-MnO₄$ system is due in part to $Ru(CN)₆⁴$ being more difficult to oxidize to $Ru(CN)₆³⁻$ (E^o = $\overline{0.86}$ V) [4, 8] than the analogous Fe(II) \overline{E}° = 0.36 V) $\left[8 \right]$ and $\left[0.6 \right]$ (F^o = +0.63 V) $\left[5 \right]$ species. This coupled with a self exchange rate of the $Ru(II)$ -Ru(II1) couple that is less than the self exchange rate for the iron analog and several orders of magnitude slower than the osmium system serves to severely limit the rate constant for the $Ru(II)-MnO₄⁻$ reaction.

References

- 1 K. W. Hicks and G. A. Chappelle, Inorg. Chem., 19, 1623 (1980).
- M. A. Rawoff and J. R. Sutter,J. *Phys Chem., 71, 2767* (1967).
- 3 J. J. Alexander and H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, *4260* (1968).
- 4 W. L. Waltz, S. S. Akhtar and R. L. Eager, *Can. J. Chem.*, *51,* 2525 (1973).
- F. Opekar and P. Beran, J. *Electroanal Chem., 71, 120 (1976).*
- 6 A. G. Sharpe, 'The Chemistry of Cyano Complexes of the Transition Metals', Academic Press, London, 1976, p. 146.
- p. 170.
D. C. Wilkins, 'The Study of Kinetics and Mechanisms of Reactions of Transition Metal Complexes', Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1974, p. 46.
- 8 J. Jordan and G. J. Ewing, Inorg. *Chem., I,* 587 (1962). 9 A. A. SchiIt,J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 85, 904* (1963).
-
- 10 D. D. DeFord and A. W. Adamson, J. *Phys Chem., 73, 4250* (1969).
- 11 R. A. Marcus,J. *Phys Chem., 67, 853* (1963).
- 12 R. Campion, N. Purdie and N. Sutin, J. *Am. Chem Sot., 85, 3528* (1963).